IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

MONDAY, THE 26TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 5TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 4214 of 2007()

CC.206/2003 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-I, ATTINGAL

CRA.118/2006 of SESSIONS COURT, TRIVANDRUM

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: APPELLANT/ACCUSED

RASHEED, S/O.ABDUL AZEEZ, 'BISMI',
A.K.G.NAGAR, AVANAVANCHERI, ATTINGAL.

BY ADV. SRI.SHAJIN S.HAMEED

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

---

1. ANIL KUMAR, S/O.GANGADHARAN, MELE VILA VEEDU, ANCHAL, KALAMACHAL.

2. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.C.M.NAZAR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.HARILAL, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Unnumbered Crl.R.P.No……. of 2007

= =

Dated this the 18th day of July, 2013

O R D E R

In this revision petition, the notice was ordered on delay petition on 04/04/2007 but no steps had been taken so far to serve notice. Though the case was posted in the defect list before the Registrar and sought for time to cure the defect, defect was not cured. Again this revision petition was posted in the defect list before the bench on 30/05/2013. On that day also, there was no representation. But, the court granted ten days time to cure the defect. Again, this revision was posted on 02/07/2013. On that day also, none represented for the Revision petitioner. In the above circumstances, I find that the Revision petitioner is not interested to prosecute this revision petition.

Hence, this revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

K.HARILAL,
JUDGE.

Stu

//True copy//

P.A to Judge.